

Annex 1



FINAL REPORT EVALUATION

Reference number: 613379-EPP-1-2019-1-HR-SPO-SSCP

Relevance of the project	Score	Maximum
<p>The project was well in line with the specific objectives of European policies in the field of sports, in particular of raising awareness on the promotion of social inclusion of former elite athletes, through recreational activities and exchange of good practices, between former athletes at a European (consortium) level.</p> <p>The objectives were clearly formulated and relevant to the participating organisations and target groups. The project has well succeeded in contributing to building the capacity of former elite athletes, collecting and recording best practice cases and experts recommendations and in motivating the former elite athletes to recreational sport activities.</p> <p>This has been reached through workshops and recreational activities, with the involvement of at least 10 former high-level athletes for each participating Country. Besides, they have conceived and produced a Handbook, with focus on measures and recommendations aimed at improving social inclusion and psychophysical condition of former athletes in the partners' Countries and in EU.</p> <p>Furthermore, the project has enhanced exchange of experience and good practices among the stakeholders and raised awareness at a political level on an important topic, the reintegration of former athletes into an active working life, which will hopefully not be any more ignored from policy makers.</p> <p>The results of the project represent a benefit at EU level, which could not have been attained if carried out solely in a single country.</p>	26	30
Quality of the project design and implementation	Score	Maximum
<p>The project managed to reach 116 participants, it carried out workshops, recreational activities and round tables and transnational meetings in each partner Country.</p> <p>Quality control was outwardly an important part in all stages of the project, regular project meetings were used for monitoring progress and a budget quality control was conducted (small modifications were timely reported to the EACEA).</p> <p>Compared to the original work plan in the application, some meetings had to be held online, due to the impact of Covid-19 on travelling restrictions and on closure of sport and recreational spaces. Linked to the same reason, an amendment has been approved to extend the duration of the project of 6 months.</p>	18	20
Quality of the project team and the cooperation arrangement	Score	Maximum
	18	20

Annex 1

Quality of the project team and the cooperation arrangement

The overall management of the project was well done.
The team was composed of project managers, but also specific professional roles such as former athletes and kinesiologists.
They all managed to respond and adapt to the COVID-19 crisis, always keeping in mind the safety of participants, especially of retired athletes, which constituted a weak and risky category during pandemic.
The project remained cost effective and appropriate resources were allocated to the different activities.

Impact and dissemination

The dissemination plan revealed to be of good quality and a very important impact for the visibility of the project.

The measures aimed at sharing the outcomes of the project among stakeholders, civil society and policy makers.

This has been proved for example by:

- the creation of a well- designed project website
- the use of social media
- capacity building workshops
- local dissemination events
- press conferences, press releases and TV appearances
- production of AS-A! Handbook

The logos are well displayed and the European context is well acknowledged on the website.

The sustainability of the project has been thought about in detail and is fully ensured even after the EU grant has been used up thanks to network established and the tools developed.

Score

Maximum

26

30

Total score:

88

100